

Biological Control of Insect Pests: Current Trends and Future Prospects

R. Nisha¹, Swadhin Kumar Swain^{2*}, Sneha Katherine³, Dhiraj Kumar Yadav⁴

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Entomology, SRM College of Agricultural Sciences, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Baburayanpettai, Chengalpattu – 603201, Tamil Nadu, India

^{2*} M.Sc. (Ag.) Nematology, College of Agriculture, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

³ M.Sc. (Ag.) Entomology, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

⁴ Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Farm Forestry, UTD, Sant Gahira Guru University, Sarguja – 497001, Chhattisgarh, India

***Corresponding Author Email:** rkswadhinkumar@gmail.com

[DOI:10.5281/TrendsInAgri.16981330](https://doi.org/10.5281/TrendsInAgri.16981330)

Abstract

Biological control the harnessing of living organisms and their natural interactions to suppress pest populations has grown from a niche practice into a cornerstone of sustainable pest management. This article reviews contemporary trends in biological control of insect pests, highlights practical successes and challenges, and looks ahead to promising directions in research, technology, and policy. Emphasis is placed on the diversity of agents (microbial, parasitoid, predator, nematode, botanical), deployment strategies (conservation, augmentation, classical introductions, sterile insect technique), and the integration of biological control into holistic crop management systems. We close by sketching realistic future scenarios: smarter formulations, precision release strategies, and socially attuned regulatory pathways that could make biological control more accessible and reliable for farmers worldwide.

Keywords: biological control, entomopathogens, parasitoids, conservation biological control, integrated pest management, sterile insect technique, biopesticides, augmentation

Introduction

Look out over a field at dawn and you'll find more than crops a tiny wild economy of predators, parasites, microbes, and plants quietly negotiating survival. Biological control taps into those negotiations. It's not a silver bullet; rather, it's a philosophy and suite of tools that aim to shift ecological relationships in favour of crops and people. Historically, stories of introducing a predator to curb an invasive pest sit alongside modern lab grown microbial products and community led habitat enhancement. Today's challenge is turning diverse knowledge from field ecology to molecular biology into dependable, scalable solutions that farmers can use without sacrificing biodiversity or resilience.

Why biological control matters now

Three pressures push biological control into the spotlight. First, the environmental and health costs of heavy chemical pesticide use are increasingly unacceptable. Second, pest resistance to synthetic pesticides is widespread, requiring rotating tactics rather than more of the same. Third, consumers and markets reward lower residue and ecologically friendly production. Biological control offers a pathway that can reduce chemical use, preserve beneficial species, and maintain long-term ecosystem services but only if implemented thoughtfully.

Current trends

1. Diversification of microbial biopesticides

Microbial agent's bacteria, fungi, viruses, and nematodes that attack pests have become mainstream. Improved formulations and shelf-life, along with clearer registration pathways in many countries, have led to wider adoption. Instead of a one-size-fits-all bacterium or fungus, manufacturers now tailor strains to specific pests and crop systems, and sometimes combine microbes with attractants or adjuvants for better field performance.

2. Precision mass-rearing and release of natural enemies

Mass-rearing techniques for parasitoids and predators are more automated and inexpensive than before, enabling inundative releases at scale. Quality control practices ensure animals released into fields are fit and effective. Release strategies are becoming more strategic timed to crop phenology and pest life stages rather than ad hoc.

3. Conservation biological control and habitat management

Rather than introducing new organisms, conservation approaches bolster the survivors: planting nectar strips, maintaining field margins, and using “banker plants” to maintain beneficial populations year-round. These measures are low-cost and synergistic with broader agroecological goals.

4. Integrated push–pull and behaviourbased methods

Behavioural ecology informs clever tactics: using repellents to push pests away from crops and attractants or trap crops to pull them into managed zones. Semiochemicals (pheromones) have matured as both monitoring and control tools, complementing living agents.

5. Sterile insect technique (SIT) and incompatible insect techniques

SIT producing and releasing sterile males to reduce pest reproduction has scaled from islands and specialty crops to larger programs for specific pests. Related approaches using symbionts to render populations less harmful are also gaining traction in some contexts.

6. Improved formulations and delivery systems

Dry powders, granules, encapsulation, and slow-release matrices make biological agents easier to store and apply, improving survival in the field and compatibility with farmers' equipment and schedules.

7. Greater attention to safety, regulation, and stewardship

Policymakers and producers increasingly emphasize that “biological” doesn’t mean “risk-free.” Registration frameworks, product standards, and stewardship programs help ensure that biocontrol products are safe for non-target organisms and effective when used as part of an integrated system.

Practical deployment strategies

- **Classical biological control:** introduce a co-evolved natural enemy to control an invasive pest (used cautiously and after risk assessment).
- **Augmentative biological control:** rear and release large numbers of a natural enemy for immediate suppression.
- **Inundative releases:** high-volume, short-term releases to crash populations in outbreak conditions.
- **Inoculative releases:** smaller releases intended to establish populations that persist and provide longer-term suppression.
- **Conservation biological control:** habitat or agronomic adjustments to support existing natural enemies.

Each strategy carries trade-offs cost, temporal scale of control, and ecological risk and success often depends on local knowledge: climate, cropping patterns, and farmer capacity.

Representative agents and their niches

No.	Agent/Technique	Target pest(s) / niche	Practical note
1	Entomopathogenic fungi (e.g., <i>Beauveria</i> , <i>Metarhizium</i>)	Chewing insects, soil-dwelling stages	Useful for soil and foliar pests; sensitive to UV and requires humid microclimates
2	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> (Bt) formulations	Lepidopteran larvae, some dipterans	Well-established; strain selection critical for specificity
3	Entomopathogenic nematodes (<i>Steinernema</i> , <i>Heterorhabditis</i>)	Soil pests (grubs, weevils)	Applied as suspensions; effective in moist soils
4	Viral biopesticides (e.g., baculoviruses)	Caterpillars	Highly specific; persistence in field varies
5	Predatory beetles (<i>Coccinellidae</i>)	Aphids, scale insects	Conservation and release methods support populations

6	Lacewings (Chrysopidae)	Aphids, small soft-bodied pests	Sensitive to broad-spectrum sprays
7	Parasitoid wasps (e.g., Trichogramma)	Lepidopteran eggs	Mass-rearing and timed releases are effective
8	Predatory mites (Phytoseiidae)	Spider mites	Key in protected culture and orchards
9	Sterile insect technique (SIT)	Fruit flies, screwworms and others	Requires mass-rearing and logistics for release
10	Botanical insecticides (azadirachtin, pyrethrum)	Wide range	Often used as complementary tools
11	Banker plant systems	Aphids and their parasitoids	Provide alternative hosts to sustain natural enemies
12	Habitat manipulation (flower strips)	Pollinators and predators	Enhances long-term biological control
13	Microbial consortia	Complex pest complexes	Combination products for broader activity
14	Behavioural control (pheromone traps)	Moth pests, monitoring	Reduce mating and provide early warning
15	Conservation tillage	Soil predator conservation	Alters pest–predator dynamics
16	Release of predatory bugs (e.g., Orius)	Thrips	Effective in protected crops
17	Insect growth regulators (as selective tools)	Specific life stages	Used with selectivity to spare beneficials
18	Wolbachia-based incompatibility	Vector pests, some crop pests	Emerging technique for population suppression
19	Field-scale augmentation of parasitoids	Various	Timing with pest phenology is critical
20	On-farm mass-rearing (small scale)	Local tailor-made control	Empowers farmer groups, community-based programs

Challenges and constraints

Biological control is promising, but not without friction. Performance can be variable under different climates and cropping systems. Non-target effects and ecological surprises, while relatively rare with modern risk assessment, still require vigilance. Market and supply-chain bottlenecks inconsistent product quality, short shelf-lives, and lack of cold-chains in some regions hamper uptake. Farmer knowledge and trust take time to build; a poorly timed release or misapplied product can sour perceptions. Finally, regulatory complexity in some countries slows introduction of novel agents and formulations.

Future prospects what's on the horizon?**Smarter product design and formulations**

We should expect more robust, stress-tolerant formulations: encapsulation to protect microbes from UV and desiccation, granular carriers for soil application, and bait-based systems that combine attractants with living agents.

Genomics and microbiome-informed selection

Sequencing and omics tools help select strains with desirable traits (host specificity, environmental tolerance) without becoming a recipe for risky manipulation. Understanding the pest and natural-enemy microbiomes may allow indirect control strategies e.g., altering gut microbes to increase pest susceptibility.

Precision release and decision support

Drones and automated release systems can distribute natural enemies more evenly across landscapes, reducing labour costs and improving match to pest hotspots. Coupled with remote sensing and decision-support algorithms, releases can become more targeted and cost-effective.

RNAi and species-specific molecular tools (carefully applied)

RNA interference offers species-specific knockdown approaches. As these technologies mature, they will likely be packaged in ways that complement, rather than replace, living agents for instance, to suppress resistance or weaken outbreaks for biocontrol agents to finish the job.

Farmer-cantered business models and community rearing

Local or regional mass-rearing hubs, cooperative models, and franchise systems for quality-controlled agents can reduce costs and align supply with local pest assemblages. Training and extension remain essential.

Policy and social license

Transparent risk assessment, participatory decision-making, and clear labelling will help build public trust. Policies that reward reduced chemical reliance through subsidies, market premiums, or regulatory incentives will accelerate adoption.

Recommendations for practitioners and researchers

- Embrace mixed strategies: combine conservation, augmentation, and selective chemical use when necessary.
- Prioritize local adaptation: what works in one region may not work in another trials and farmer feedback are essential.
- Invest in farmer training and community-based production to improve timing, application, and trust.
- Strengthen product quality standards and post-market surveillance to ensure efficacy and safety.

- Foster interdisciplinary research bridging ecology, formulation science, and social sciences.

Conclusion

Biological control is not a nostalgic return to pre-industrial farming, nor is it a magic wand. It is a pragmatic, evolving toolkit that leverages life's own regulatory mechanisms to manage pests. The last decade has seen meaningful technical improvements in microbes, mass-rearing, formulations, and decision support while the next decade promises even greater integration with precision agriculture and molecular tools. If we pair technological progress with careful stewardship, community engagement, and sensible policy, biological control can shift from a niche option to a reliable pillar of resilient, sustainable agriculture. The real test will be doing this in ways that respect local ecologies, support farmers' livelihoods, and keep options open for future generations.

References

- Ferrer, F. (2001). Biological control of agricultural insect pests in Venezuela; advances, achievements, and future perspectives.
- Liu, S. S., Rao, A., & Vinson, S. B. (2014). Biological Control in China: Past, present and future—An introduction to this special issue. *Biol. Control*, 68(1), 5.
- Brodeur, J., Abram, P. K., Heimpel, G. E., & Messing, R. H. (2018). Trends in biological control: public interest, international networking and research direction. *BioControl*, 63(1), 11-26.
- Christou, P., Capell, T., Kohli, A., Gatehouse, J. A., & Gatehouse, A. M. (2006). Recent developments and future prospects in insect pest control in transgenic crops. *Trends in plant science*, 11(6), 302-308.
- Frank, S. D. (2010). Biological control of arthropod pests using banker plant systems: past progress and future directions. *Biological control*, 52(1), 8-16.
- Gurr, G. M., & You, M. (2016). Conservation biological control of pests in the molecular era: new opportunities to address old constraints. *Frontiers in plant science*, 6, 1255.