TRENDS
IN AGRICULTURE SCIENCE
ISSN:2583-7850

Popular Article
Domain: Agriculture Science
Vol 4 Issue 8, August 2025, 3970-3979

CRISPR-Cas Mediated Development of Climate-Resilient Crops for Low-Input Sustainable Agriculture

Debanshu Panigrahi (MSc. Scholar) [1]
Dr. Stuti Debapriya Behera[2] (Assistant Professor)
Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan Deemed to be University, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

DOI:10.5281/TrendsinAgri.16929286

Abstract

Climate change is putting immense pressure on global agriculture, with rising temperatures, unpredictable rainfall, extended droughts, and changing patterns of pests and diseases. These challenges hit low-input farming systems the hardest, where farmers often lack access to irrigation, fertilizers, and pesticides, making their crops particularly susceptible to environmental changes. While traditional plant breeding has its merits, it simply can't keep up with the urgent need for adaptation. Enter CRISPR-Cas gene-editing technology, which presents a groundbreaking solution by allowing for precise, targeted, and efficient modifications to crop genomes, enhancing traits that are crucial for climate resilience. With these targeted edits, we can boost drought and heat tolerance, improve nutrient use efficiency, and develop stronger resistance to pests and diseases—all while reducing reliance on synthetic inputs and maintaining or even improving yield stability. Incorporating CRISPR-edited varieties into sustainable, low-input farming systems could bring about significant environmental advantages, such as lower greenhouse gas emissions, decreased nutrient runoff, and healthier soil, all while boosting profits for smallholder farmers. However, to unlock this potential, we need to tackle technical challenges, align global biosafety regulations, and address public perception issues. This article delves into the scientific principles, practical uses, and socio-economic impacts of CRISPR-Cas technology as a key player in the shift towards climate-smart, resource-efficient agriculture.

Introduction

Climate change is dramatically transforming the environmental landscape that agriculture relies on, presenting a unique set of challenges that are both vast and intricate. As global temperatures rise, we're seeing shifts in crop growth cycles; for example, heatwaves during flowering can lead to spikelet sterility in cereals and hinder pod development in legumes. Unpredictable rainfall patterns are throwing off sowing schedules and shortening growing seasons, while extended droughts restrict biomass growth and grain filling. On the flip side, heavy rainfall can lead to flooding, waterlogging, and nutrient loss. Adding to these abiotic pressures, climate change is also intensifying the spread and impact of pests and diseases by altering their geographic ranges and life cycles. A case in point is the fall armyworm, which has rapidly spread across Africa and Asia, posing a serious threat to maize production. In low-input farming systems—common in Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and parts of Latin America—these challenges hit even harder, as farmers often lack access to irrigation, synthetic fertilizers, or chemical pest control. These systems depend heavily on natural rainfall and soil health, making them particularly vulnerable to climate fluctuations. While conventional plant breeding has historically been effective in boosting yields and enhancing adaptability, it often can't keep up with the rapid pace of environmental change. The entire process of crossing, selecting, and testing across multiple locations usually takes 8 to 15 years before a new variety is available to farmers, and by then, climate conditions may have already evolved. Additionally, traditional breeding often finds it tough to integrate multiple traits—like drought resistance, nutrient efficiency, and disease resilience—into a single variety without compromising yield or grain quality.

In this context, the CRISPR-Cas gene-editing system presents a groundbreaking alternative. It allows for targeted, precise, and efficient changes to specific genes, which can significantly boost important traits related to climate resilience, sometimes even within just one breeding cycle. Unlike traditional transgenic methods that involve inserting foreign DNA, CRISPR often modifies or disables existing genes, which can lead to better regulatory acceptance and a more favorable view from consumers. The potential uses are vast, ranging from tweaking transcription factors that manage stress responses to adjusting transporters that handle nutrient uptake, and even fine-tuning genes that control flowering time to avoid terminal drought. For low-input farming systems, CRISPR holds great promise by creating crops that need fewer external resources while still maintaining or enhancing yield stability. Drought-resistant varieties could lessen the need for irrigation, nutrient-efficient crops could flourish with minimal fertilizer, and pest-resistant plants could reduce reliance on pesticides. Collectively, these advancements could help stabilize production, conserve environmental resources, and improve the livelihoods of farmers facing climate challenges. This article aims to delve into the scientific foundations of CRISPR-Cas, highlight its current achievements, pinpoint research gaps, and discuss how it can be woven into sustainable farming practices to achieve the dual objectives of climate adaptation and low-input agricultural productivity.

Literature Review Highlights

The CRISPR-Cas system is one of the most groundbreaking developments in molecular biology and plant breeding we've seen in recent years. Initially identified as a part of bacteria's immune defense against viruses, CRISPR (which stands for Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats) and its Cas (CRISPR-associated) proteins have been transformed into a versatile, precise, and efficient tool for editing genomes. In the realm of plants, this system works by creating a short guide RNA (gRNA) that leads a Cas nuclease—usually Cas9 or Cas12a—to a specific DNA sequence, where it makes a double-stranded break. The plant's own DNA repair mechanisms then step in to fix this break, either through non-homologous end joining (NHEJ), which can result in loss-of-function mutations, or homology-directed repair (HDR), which enables the exact insertion or replacement of sequences. Recent innovations have introduced base editors that can alter a single nucleotide without cutting the DNA, as well as prime editors that can execute complex edits without needing double-stranded breaks. When you stack CRISPR up against traditional breeding methods, it really shines. Conventional techniques depend on crossing and selection, which can be slow and imprecise, often dragging along unwanted traits with the desired ones (a phenomenon known as linkage drag). On the flip side, CRISPR empowers breeders to target single genes or even multiple genes at once (a process called multiplexing) with remarkable accuracy, speeding up the breeding cycle and reducing unintended changes. Plus, when compared to transgenic methods, CRISPR can create edits that closely resemble naturally occurring mutations, which might help sidestep strict GMO regulations in some areas.

The literature highlights an increasing number of successful CRISPR applications aimed at enhancing crops, particularly in relation to climate resilience. For instance, in rice, researchers have used CRISPR to knock out OsSWEET genes, which has led to lasting resistance against bacterial blight—a disease that's likely to worsen with rising temperatures and humidity. In wheat, editing the TaERF3 transcription factor has boosted the plant's ability to withstand high temperatures during the crucial grain-filling stage. Meanwhile, maize scientists have focused on ARGOS8, a gene that negatively regulates the ethylene response, to improve drought tolerance without sacrificing yield in ideal conditions. In tomatoes, disrupting the MLO susceptibility gene has granted resistance to powdery mildew, which helps reduce the reliance on fungicides. Additionally, both rice and tomatoes have seen improved salinity tolerance through the editing of genes related to sodium transport, like OsRR22, which plays a role in managing salt stress responses. There's also a rising interest in leveraging CRISPR to enhance nutrient use efficiency, a vital characteristic for low-input agricultural systems. For example, editing nitrogen transporter genes such as NRT1.1B in rice has been proven to boost nitrogen uptake and utilization efficiency, enabling farmers to achieve similar yields while using less fertilizer. In sorghum and maize, manipulating root architecture genes via CRISPR has enhanced their ability to forage for water and nutrients in less-than-ideal soils.

Despite the progress we've made, there are still some significant gaps in research. A lot of CRISPR studies show promising results in controlled greenhouse settings, but very few have been tested over multiple years and locations, especially in the tough conditions that smallholder farmers often face. Additionally, there's not much work being done on combining multiple traits that are important for climate resilience—like drought tolerance, nutrient efficiency, and pest resistance—into a single crop variety. On top of that, while CRISPR editing is getting more efficient, editing tough crop species and elite varieties without going through lengthy tissue culture processes is still a hurdle. We're also still fine-tuning delivery methods like protoplast transfection, viral vectors, and nanomaterials for regular use across different crops. From a sustainability standpoint, we really need more integrated studies that look at how CRISPR-derived traits perform in whole farming systems, especially those that use minimal inputs and focus on ecological practices. Grasping the interactions between genes, environments, and management will be crucial for unlocking CRISPR's full potential in climate-resilient, low-input agriculture. The literature also points out the necessity for public-sector investment and open-access breeding platforms to make sure that the advantages of CRISPR reach beyond high-input, commercial agriculture and are available to smallholder communities around the globe.

Objectives

The main goal of using CRISPR-Cas technology in improving crops for sustainable agriculture with low inputs is to cleverly apply precision gene editing. This approach aims to create crop varieties that can thrive even under climate stress while minimizing reliance on external resources. We can break this goal down into three interconnected objectives that together lay the groundwork for a thorough research-to-application process. The first objective focuses on pinpointing essential target genes in staple crops that affect or control traits related to climate resilience. To achieve this, we need to conduct a detailed analysis of genomic, transcriptomic, and phenotypic data. Techniques like genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping can help identify specific genomic regions linked to drought tolerance, salinity resistance, or pest defense. By profiling the transcriptome under controlled stress conditions, we can uncover stress-responsive genes, including transcription factors from the DREB, NAC, and WRKY families, which manage extensive networks of downstream genes involved in osmotic adjustment, antioxidant defense, and root growth. In the realm of nutrient efficiency, genes that regulate nitrogen and phosphorus uptake and assimilation, such as NRT1.1B in rice or PHO1 in legumes, are particularly valuable targets. The aim here is not just to compile a list of potential targets but to prioritize them based on their functional significance, effect size, and stability across various genetic backgrounds and environments.

The second goal here is to look into potential CRISPR modifications that could boost crop yields in low-input farming situations. This goes beyond just improving plant physiology; it's about seeing how these edited traits actually perform in real-world farming scenarios where irrigation is limited, fertilizer use is cut back, and pest control measures are minimal. For example, a CRISPR-edited maize that's drought-tolerant might thrive in a greenhouse with controlled watering, but its real worth shines through only if it can keep up its yield in a rainfed, semi-arid field that faces unpredictable rainfall and pest challenges. This goal also involves exploring trait stacking—editing several genes to create crops that can handle drought, heat, and nutrient stress together—because in the real world, being resilient to climate change often means having multiple traits working in harmony. Plus, we need to think about potential trade-offs, like whether boosting stress tolerance might reduce yield potential in ideal conditions. The third goal is to evaluate the environmental and socio-economic advantages of CRISPR-edited crops within sustainable farming systems. When it comes to the environment, we're looking at how much we can cut down on inputs like water, fertilizers, and pesticides, and what that means for greenhouse gas emissions and nutrient runoff. We can keep an eye on soil health indicators—like organic carbon levels, microbial diversity, and how efficiently nutrients cycle—to really capture the ecological benefits of using fewer chemicals. From a socio-economic standpoint, farmer profitability is key, factoring in changes in production costs, yield stability, and market opportunities for crops produced sustainably. It's also crucial to consider how likely farmers are to adopt these edited varieties—are they culturally and economically viable? Can seed systems provide them at a reasonable cost? And do policies and regulations support their use?

By clearly defining these objectives, research programs can keep their focus sharp, ranging from the intricacies of molecular biology to the practical realities faced on farms. Each goal builds on the last, forming a cohesive strategy: pinpointing and prioritizing genes makes sure that editing efforts are on point; testing traits in low-input conditions guarantees they're practical; and evaluating the environmental and socio-economic benefits ensures that the technology truly makes a difference in the real world. Altogether, these objectives lay the groundwork for responsibly weaving CRISPR-Cas into climate-resilient, low-input agricultural systems, effectively connecting lab breakthroughs with transformative changes in the field.

Methodology

Creating a CRISPR-Cas-based crop improvement program aimed at enhancing climate resilience in low-input systems is no small feat. It requires a well-organized, multi-step approach that links cutting-edge molecular innovations to real-world field testing and socio-economic evaluations. The journey starts with identifying target genes, moves through designing constructs and transformation, advances into extensive field trials across various environments, and wraps up with evaluations centered around farmers and their adoption of the innovations. The first crucial step is pinpointing those target genes. This involves diving into existing genomic, transcriptomic, and phenotypic databases to find genes that contribute to drought tolerance, heat resilience, nutrient efficiency, and resistance to pests or diseases. By combining genome-wide association studies (GWAS) with quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping, we can zero in on the genomic regions that matter most. Conducting transcriptome analyses under controlled stress conditions—like gradual drought, salinity, or nitrogen deficiency—helps us spot stress-inducible transcription factors such as

DREB and NAC, which serve as key regulators. To refine our list of candidate genes even further, we also look at functional annotations, protein interaction mapping, and comparative genomics with wild relatives or resilient landraces.

Once candidate genes are chosen, we kick off the CRISPR construct design phase. This is where we create guide RNAs (gRNAs) that specifically target sites within the gene, making sure we achieve high on-target efficiency while keeping off-target activity to a minimum. We rely on various bioinformatics tools to validate these gRNAs, analyzing potential off-target sites against the crop's reference genome and, when possible, its pan-genome to account for variations across different cultivars. Depending on what we aim to achieve with the editing, researchers might use techniques like nuclease-mediated knockouts to eliminate susceptibility genes, base editors for making single-nucleotide changes, or promoter editing to fine-tune gene expression. In some instances, prime editing is selected to accurately insert or replace sequences without needing donor templates. The next step is transformation and screening. We can deliver the CRISPR-Cas components into plant cells using methods like Agrobacterium-mediated transformation, biolistic particle delivery, protoplast transfection, or viral vector systems, depending on the crop species and how efficiently it can be transformed. We optimize regeneration protocols to recover whole plants from the edited cells while minimizing somaclonal variation. Screening involves amplifying the target locus using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), followed by sequencing to confirm the desired edit and ensure there are no off-target mutations. The edited plants are then advanced to the T1 and T2 generations to verify heritability and the stability of the traits.

The fourth step involves conducting field trials under low-input conditions, where we test the edited lines in real-world scenarios that reflect the resource limitations of the target farming systems. These trials take place across various locations and seasons, ideally using randomized complete block designs to account for environmental differences. We gather data on yield, water use efficiency, nutrient uptake, pest and disease occurrence, and grain quality. We also keep an eye on environmental factors like soil moisture, temperature, and nutrient availability to link plant performance with levels of abiotic stress. The final step is a socio-economic assessment, which brings together farmers, extension workers, and market participants to evaluate the edited crops through participatory on-farm trials. Farmers share their insights on aspects such as yield stability, labor demands, and input requirements, while cost-benefit analyses help compare the economic returns of CRISPR varieties with traditional options. Market surveys gauge consumer acceptance, and policy reviews pinpoint any regulatory or logistical hurdles to adoption. By combining molecular precision with field validation and socio-economic evaluation, this approach ensures that CRISPR-Cas innovations are not just scientifically robust but also agronomically relevant, environmentally sustainable, and socially acceptable. This pipeline effectively connects cutting-edge biotechnology with the practical needs of climate-resilient, low-input agricultural systems.

Expected Results & Discussion

The use of CRISPR-Cas technology to create climate-resilient crops for low-input farming is set to produce varieties that show real improvements in their ability to withstand drought, heat, salinity, and nutrient shortages, all while cutting down on the need for synthetic inputs. One of the most immediate outcomes we can expect is the development of crop lines with better physiological and morphological traits that help maintain stable yields even when faced with climate challenges. For instance, these edited varieties might have deeper root systems to tap into subsoil moisture, improved stomatal control to minimize water loss, enhanced osmotic adjustment through the buildup of compatible solutes, or modified leaf structures that boost water-use efficiency. A key benefit we anticipate is a reduced reliance on fertilizers and pesticides. By tweaking genes that enhance nutrient uptake and assimilation—like those involved in nitrogen and phosphorus transport—plants can achieve similar yields with 25–50% less synthetic fertilizer. Likewise, by removing or altering genes that make plants vulnerable to pests and diseases, we can give them strong resistance, potentially cutting down on chemical pesticide use by similar amounts. This not only helps farmers save on production costs but also lessens environmental risks, such as nutrient runoff, waterway pollution, and pesticide-related harm to non-target species, including pollinators and beneficial soil microbes.

When we look at yield stability, CRISPR-edited crops are set to bridge the gap between what farmers could potentially harvest in perfect conditions and what they actually get when faced with field stresses. For smallholder farmers relying on rainfed systems, this kind of stability could mean fewer crop failures and more reliable harvests, even during years with unpredictable rainfall or extreme temperatures. Over time, this stability can really boost food security for households and lessen the pressure on farmers to sell off livestock or other assets during tough harvest years. From an environmental standpoint, CRISPR crops that use nutrients more efficiently could help cut down on greenhouse gas emissions by lowering nitrous oxide released from fertilizers, which is a significant climate pollutant. Healthier plants with better root systems can also contribute to more organic matter in the soil, enhancing carbon sequestration and aiding in climate change mitigation. Plus, pest-resistant varieties could lessen the environmental impact of pest control by reducing the need for synthetic chemicals and helping to restore the natural balance between predators and prey in the fields.

The conversation surrounding these results needs to take into account the potential trade-offs and limitations. While targeted gene edits can bring significant advantages, the way these traits express and function can be affected by intricate interactions between genes and their environment.

For instance, a drought-tolerance gene that shines in one stress scenario might not perform as well—or could even hurt yields—in a different situation. Plus, we have to consider the chance of unintended ecological consequences, like shifts in pest populations if one pest is managed but another takes its place.

Ethical, legal, and biosafety issues are also key parts of this discussion. Even though many CRISPR edits resemble natural mutations, regulatory agencies might demand thorough evaluations before anything is released, particularly to check for off-target effects and ensure safety. Gaining societal acceptance will hinge on clear communication, inclusive breeding programs, and guarantees of fair access to seeds and technology.

In summary, the anticipated results indicate that CRISPR-Cas has the potential to significantly enhance yield stability, resource efficiency, and environmental performance. However, these benefits will be fully realized when the technology is woven into comprehensive, sustainable farming systems that blend genetic resilience with ecological management practices like conservation agriculture, organic mulching, and integrated pest management.

Challenges & Future Perspectives

While CRISPR-Cas technology holds incredible promise for transforming crop improvement, putting it into practice for developing climate-resilient varieties in low-input agriculture comes with a host of technical, regulatory, socio-economic, and ethical hurdles. Grasping these challenges is essential for crafting strategies that can tackle them and ensure the technology provides fair and sustainable benefits.

From a technical perspective, one of the biggest hurdles is reducing off-target edits—those unintended genetic changes that occur in parts of the genome we didn't mean to touch. Although advancements in computational tools and better guide RNA design have made strides in lowering this risk, completely eliminating off-target events is still a tough nut to crack, especially in polyploid crops like wheat, where having multiple genome copies adds to the complexity. Another challenge is getting CRISPR components into plant cells, particularly for crop species that don't easily transform or regenerate. Many top-performing cultivars used in farming resist current transformation methods, which often means going through lengthy tissue culture processes or relying on less effective delivery methods like particle bombardment. Plus, ensuring that beneficial traits are expressed consistently across various environmental conditions demands extensive multi-location and multi-year testing, which can be quite resource-heavy.

When it comes to regulations, the lack of consistency around the classification and oversight of CRISPR-edited crops is a major hurdle. In places like the United States, Argentina, and Japan, some CRISPR modifications—particularly those that don't involve inserting foreign DNA—are actually exempt from strict GMO regulations. On the flip side, the European Union treats even the simplest CRISPR edits as GMOs, which makes the path to commercialization longer and more costly. This mixed bag of regulations creates a lot of uncertainty for breeders, seed companies, and investors, especially in markets where seeds are traded internationally.

Then there's the issue of public perception and societal acceptance, which adds another layer of complexity. Even though CRISPR is fundamentally different from traditional transgenic methods due to its precision and ability to mimic natural mutations, there's still a lot of skepticism about gene-editing technologies in various regions. People have concerns that range from potential health risks and ecological effects to questions about seed sovereignty and corporate control. This mistrust can grow if the development of these technologies is seen as being led by big multinational corporations instead of transparent, public-sector initiatives. To tackle these worries, it's essential to engage proactively with farmers, consumers, and civil society organizations through participatory breeding, clear communication of scientific evidence, and fair benefit-sharing practices.

From a socio-economic standpoint, the question of how accessible CRISPR-derived varieties are to smallholder farmers is a significant concern. If we don't have inclusive seed systems in place, there's a real danger that the advantages will end up benefiting high-input, commercial agriculture, while low-input farmers—who arguably could benefit the most—get left in the dust. The expenses involved in developing and licensing CRISPR-edited crops might also make them unaffordable unless public research institutions, government initiatives, and open-access platforms step up to the plate.

Innovations in delivery methods—like transient expression systems, ribonucleoprotein (RNP) delivery, or nanomaterial-mediated transformation—could streamline the editing process and lead to transgene-free plants that encounter fewer regulatory challenges. Additionally, the emergence of base and prime editing technologies holds the promise of making even more precise and predictable modifications without causing double-stranded DNA breaks. Plus, leveraging artificial intelligence and high-throughput phenotyping could speed up the identification of target genes and the assessment of edited lines.

In the long run, CRISPR is set to make the biggest difference when it's part of integrated farming systems that are resilient to climate change. These systems should blend genetic advancements with eco-friendly practices like crop diversification, conservation tillage, and integrated pest management. Additionally, innovations at the institutional level—like farmer-led participatory breeding, open-source licensing, and strengthening national agricultural research—will play a crucial role. If we tackle these technical, regulatory, and social challenges together, CRISPR could be responsibly scaled up to become a key technology in the global shift towards sustainable, climate-smart agriculture.

Conclusion

CRISPR-Cas technology is truly a game changer for improving crops, bringing incredible speed, precision, and flexibility to tackle the urgent challenges posed by climate change in agriculture. This innovative approach allows for targeted changes to genes that influence traits like drought tolerance, nutrient efficiency, and pest resistance, creating crop varieties that can maintain stable yields even in the face of unpredictable and resource-scarce conditions that many farmers around the globe encounter. Unlike traditional breeding methods, which can take over a decade to produce new varieties, CRISPR enables quick deployment of desirable traits, significantly shortening the time needed to adapt to changing climatic and ecological challenges.

For farmers working in low-input systems, where access to fertilizers, irrigation, and pesticides is often limited, CRISPR-enhanced crops provide a promising route to boost productivity without adding to environmental pressures. By reducing the need for inputs, these crops can help lower production costs, cut down on greenhouse gas emissions, and safeguard our soil and water resources. However, to fully realize these advantages, we need to tackle technical challenges, align global regulatory standards, and build public trust through open and inclusive approaches.

The use of CRISPR-edited crops in sustainable farming can really shine when it's combined with other practices like organic mulching, crop diversification, and conservation tillage. This all-encompassing strategy makes sure that these genetic advancements work hand in hand with ecological management to boost resilience. In the end, we should see CRISPR-Cas not just as a standalone fix, but as an essential part of a larger climate-smart agriculture plan—one that aims to secure food supplies, safeguard ecosystems, and support the livelihoods of farming communities in a world that's changing fast.

References

Chen, K., Wang, Y., Zhang, R., Zhang, H., & Gao, C. (2019). CRISPR/Cas Genome Editing and Precision Plant Breeding in Agriculture. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 70, 667–697.

Zhang, Y., Malzahn, A., Sretenovic, S., & Qi, Y. (2019). The emerging and uncultivated potential of CRISPR technology in plant science. Nature Plants, 5(8), 778–794.

Li, T., Liu, B., Spalding, M. H., Weeks, D. P., & Yang, B. (2012). High-efficiency TALEN-based gene editing produces disease-resistant rice. Nature Biotechnology, 30(5), 390–392.

Jaganathan, D., Ramasamy, K., Sellamuthu, G., Jayabalan, S., & Venkataraman, G. (2018). CRISPR for crop improvement: An update review. Frontiers in Plant Science, 9, 985.

Zetsche, B., Gootenberg, J. S., Abudayyeh, O. O., Slaymaker, I. M., Makarova, K. S., Essletzbichler, P., ... & Zhang, F. (2015). Cpf1 is a single RNA-guided endonuclease of a class 2 CRISPR–Cas system. Cell, 163(3), 759–771.

Gao, C. (2021). Genome engineering for crop improvement and future agriculture. Cell, 184(6), 1621–1635.

Jinek, M., Chylinski, K., Fonfara, I., Hauer, M., Doudna, J. A., & Charpentier, E. (2012). A programmable dual-RNA–guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science, 337(6096), 816–821.