Trends in Agriculture Science

Vol.2 Issue 8 August 2023, Page: 647-656

Artificial Intelligence In Weed Management: A Game Changer In Agriculture

Ipsita Padhi, Koushik Sar* and Roshni Mishra
Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences (IAS)
Siksha ‘O’ Anusandhan (Deemed to be University)
Bhubaneswar-751029, Odisha

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8280264

Abstract

Weeds pose a significant threat to agricultural productivity, necessitating effective weed management strategies. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has revolutionized agriculture, providing innovative solutions to address the challenges posed by invasive weeds. This paper explores the contributions of AI in various aspects of weed management, such as site-specific farming, crop monitoring, weed control, autonomous machinery, and climate predictions. AI-powered technologies enable precise and sustainable weed control, reducing herbicide usage and minimizing environmental impact. Additionally, AI enhances crop breeding, supply chain optimization, and personalized agriculture, ensuring efficient agricultural systems to meet global food demands. Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) with thermal imaging offer breakthroughs in distinguishing susceptible and resistant weed canopies, aiding early detection and targeted herbicide application. Despite the remarkable potential of AI, challenges like limited payloads and farmer adoption persist. Integrating AI into agriculture promises a greener and more productive future.

Introduction

In the vast fields of modern agriculture, a silent war is being fought every day - a battle against invasive weeds that threaten to choke the life out of precious crops. In the realm of agriculture, weeds have long been recognized as formidable foes, relentlessly competing with crops for vital resources and hampering yields and quality. As the global population continues to grow, ensuring food security becomes paramount, and effective weed management emerges as a linchpin for agricultural productivity. To achieve optimal weed control, a successful technology must possess two essential qualities: robustness and adaptability. A robust weed control solution can effectively combat weeds regardless of the diverse conditions found in agricultural fields. On the other hand, adaptability is the key to a technology's ability to adjust its approach to accommodate changes in weed populations, genetics, and climatic conditions over time. Striking a balance between these two attributes ensures a resilient and efficient weed management system capable of addressing the dynamic challenges posed by weeds in modern agriculture. Fortunately, advancements in weed control technology, particularly in the realm of Artificial Intelligence (AI), have revolutionized agricultural practices. Conventional weed control methods have often relied on labour-intensive processes, non-selective actions and the use of herbicides, leading to environmental concerns, health concern of farmers and the potential development of herbicide-resistant weeds and others highlight the need for more sustainable and innovative weed management approaches, such as integrated weed management and the adoption of AI-powered precision agriculture technologies. AI is becoming a game-changer in weed control, unlocking the potential for highly productive agricultural systems capable of meeting the world's ever-growing food and fiber demands.

Artificial Intelligence in The Domain of Agriculture

The contribution of AI in the field of agriculture has been transformative, revolutionizing the way farming practices are carried out and significantly enhancing agricultural productivity and sustainability. Here are some key areas where AI has made a significant impact in agriculture:

Site Specific Farming

AI-driven technologies, such as sensors, drones, and satellites, collect real-time data on soil conditions, crop health, and weather patterns. AI algorithms analyse this data to provide farmers with valuable insights, enabling them to make informed decisions about irrigation, fertilization, and pest management. Precision farming optimizes resource usage, leading to increased crop yields and reduced environmental impact.

Crop Monitoring and Disease Detection

AI-powered computer vision systems can continuously monitor crops and detect signs of diseases, pests, or nutrient deficiencies at an early stage. Early detection helps farmers take prompt action, preventing the spread of diseases and minimizing crop losses.

Weed Control and Herbicide Management

AI enables smart weed identification and targeted herbicide application. AI algorithms can differentiate between crops and weeds, allowing for precise and effective weed control. This reduces herbicide usage, minimizes environmental impact, and prevents herbicide-resistant weed populations.

Autonomous Machinery and Robotics

AI-powered agricultural robots and autonomous machinery can perform various tasks, such as planting, weeding, harvesting, and data collection. These machines operate with precision and efficiency, reducing the dependency on manual labor and increasing productivity.

Crop Yield Prediction

AI can analyse historical data and current conditions to forecast crop yields accurately. Yield prediction helps farmers plan better for storage, transportation, and marketing, improving overall farm efficiency.

Climate and Weather Predictions

AI processes vast amounts of weather data to provide accurate climate predictions. This information helps farmers make timely decisions regarding planting schedules, irrigation, and other weather-dependent activities.

Soil Health Management

AI-powered sensors and monitoring systems assess soil health parameters such as nutrient levels, pH, and moisture content. By analysing this data, farmers can implement soil management practices that enhance fertility and overall soil health.

Crop Breeding and Genomics

AI and machine learning accelerate crop breeding processes by analysing genomic data to identify desirable traits. These speeds up the development of new crop varieties that are more resilient to climate change, pests, and diseases.

Supply Chain Optimization

AI optimizes agricultural supply chains by forecasting demand, improving logistics, and enhancing distribution efficiency. This reduces food wastage and ensures that agricultural products reach consumers promptly and in optimal condition.

Personalized Agriculture

AI technologies can tailor agricultural practices to specific locations, microclimates, and soil types. This personalized approach optimizes agricultural outputs while minimizing resource waste.

Harnessing the Power of AI for Effective Weed Management

Weed detection and localization

The revolutionary application of Uncrewed Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) technology intake for crop production has had a profound impact on the Efficiency of extensive farmland. UAVs are now extensively utilized for identifying weed patches in fields, and the acquired drone imagery is processed using advanced machine learning techniques. Additionally, aerial reflectance-based imagery from satellites is employed for early weed patch monitoring (Esposito *et al*., 2021). While UAVs offer High-Definition Resolution detection based on camera and spectral bands, satellite imagery can cover larger areas ranging from 30 meters to kilometres. Thus, it is crucial to identify the appropriate subset of bands that can distinguish weed patches effectively based on the scale and resolution (Farooq *et al*., 2019).

Researchers have developed several techniques for detecting and localizing weeds using aerial imagery. These techniques incorporate spectral signature analysis to create image spectrographs (Vrindts *et al*.,1998, Pollet *et al*.,2015), considering morphological properties such as leaf shape and geometric features (Vioix *et al*.,2002), using high-resolution imagery to model leaf shapes (Manh *et al*.,2001), and by using optical bandpass filters on cameras to distinguish between weeds and crops (Wang *et al*.,2001). The reflectance properties of aerial imagery in the visible range (400 to 700 nm) are well-established (Jha *et al*., 2021), with a peak at approximately 550 to 560 nm (Gitelson *et al*.,1996, Noble *et al*.,2002), indicating maximum sensitivity to chlorophyll. Measuring the amount of chlorophyll proves useful in correlating with crop stages and types, facilitating the distinction of weed patches from crops. Near-infrared (NIR) regions (800–1350 nm) have also been extensively studied (Noble *et al*.,2002), showing a plateau in reflectance, followed by regions of low reflectance corresponding to major moisture absorption bands (1450–1950 nm)(Noble *et al*.,2002, Brown *et al*.,2005).

For analysing spectral responses, both multispectral imagery (red, green, blue, red edge, and infra-red wavelength) and imaging spectroscopy or hyperspectral imaging (expressing the full spectral range for each image pixel) are utilized (McLennon *et al*.,2021, Kamruzzaman *et al*.,2016, Kamruzzaman *et al*.,2016). While hyperspectral imaging provides a comprehensive three-dimensional structure, the popularity of multispectral imagery is rising due to its lighter hardware and faster calculation speed, making it advantageous for weed detection and localization. While AI-based approaches demonstrate effectiveness, robustness, and reliability in comprehending environmental conditions and plant characteristics, certain limitations hinder their wider applicability, especially in larger-scale treatments. For instance, AI systems integrated into Uncrewed Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) face operational constraints due to limited payloads and short battery life, preventing broad spatial coverage. Additionally, the exponential increase in data processing costs with larger areas poses practical challenges.

Moreover, apart from financial considerations, adopting AI-based methods requires farmers to acquire new skills, invest in specialized equipment, and share data with technology suppliers. The ambiguity surrounding the adoption of new technologies by landowners stems from various factors. Firstly, farmers' perception and behavioural preferences, such as their environmental consciousness about technology utilization, play a significant role in decision-making. Secondly, the influence of peer pressure and the presence of complementary technology can also affect adoption rates. Thirdly, farmers must assess the risks and rewards associated with implementing new technology. Finally, the presence of policies that incentivize farmers to adopt technologies or levy taxes for negative externalities generated by these technologies further impacts their adoption (Khanna *et al*.,2022).

Thermal imaging

Identifying weed resistance and susceptibility in the context of herbicide interactions is a complex and challenging task, often hindering effective visual scouting of distinctive phenology. This delay in management can significantly impact crop yields. To address this issue, researchers have been exploring various techniques in combination with spectral reflectance analysis of weed patches. Among these, thermal imaging has emerged as a promising tool for detecting heightened stress levels and reduced photosynthesis rates in plants (Eide *et al*.,2021).

In modern agriculture, herbicides like Glyphosate are commonly used and extensively researched for their resistance patterns (Pause *et al*.,2019). The chemical composition of these herbicides induces stress in plants, with distinct physiological responses observed between susceptible and resistant weed species. For instance, the inhibition of stomatal conductance after herbicide(glyphosate) application leads to reduced photosynthesis rates, causing an increase in leaf surface temperature (Picoli *et al*.,2017). This phenomenon indicates that susceptible weed canopies exhibit significantly higher temperatures compared to their resistant counterparts (Eide *et al*.,2021, Picoli *et al*.,2017, Shirzadifar *et al*.,2020).

Leveraging the capabilities of thermal imaging, a thermal camera mounted on an Uncrewed Aerial Vehicle (UAV) proves to be a valuable asset in detecting susceptible and resistant patches on a large spatial scale in vast fields. This innovative approach has been successfully experimented with and validated for common weeds such as kochia, water hemp, palmer amaranth pigweed, and ragweed (Duke *et al*.,2012, Beckie *et al*.,2009, Eide *et al*.,2021, Johnson *et al*.,2009). By utilizing thermal imaging to identify and differentiate between susceptible and resistant weed canopies, farmers can make more informed and timely management decisions, leading to improved weed control and ultimately enhanced crop yields. This cutting-edge technology opens up new possibilities for precision agriculture, promising a greener and more efficient future for weed management in agriculture.

Advance spraying technology

This cutting-edge technology is equipped with 8 nozzles strategically positioned at the back end to efficiently administer herbicide spraying. The process begins with capturing an image, which is then divided into small rectangular blocks, either 8x18 or 16x40 in size, covering specific areas of 8128 sq.

mm and 8768 sq. mm, respectively. Each row of rectangular blocks, corresponding to the number of nozzles, undergoes thorough inspection and processing. Upon examination, any block containing weed plants is precisely targeted for spraying. In the case of 8x18 blocks, the initial setup with 8 nozzles is utilized, while for the 16x40 blocks, 16 nozzles are employed. Subsequently, the system adheres to a set of conditions that dictate its functionality. The first condition involves classifying a block as a weed block if the examined weed pixels surpass 10% of the total block area. The second condition ensures that all examined blocks are sprayed with herbicides. The third condition comes into play when the first two conditions are met, and it requires spraying weed plants covering an area equal to or greater than 30%, targeting their eradication. Lastly, the fourth condition dictates the use of a selective herbicide with the ability to exclusively destroy weed plants while sparing other vegetation.

The primary focus of the first two conditions is to determine the precise areas where herbicides need to be applied. By identifying blocks containing significant weed presence, unnecessary spraying is minimized, optimizing the weed control process. Effective weed eradication does not require spraying every part of a weed plant; instead, targeted coverage ensures that the herbicide spreads throughout the plant, leading to its demise. However, insufficient coverage may not result in the complete death of the weed, necessitating careful and accurate spraying. Hence, the third condition defines the minimum area requiring spraying for successful weed control. The fourth condition is crucial for calculating the reduction in the quantity of herbicide sprayed compared to treating the entire weed-infested area. To perform these calculations, we need to determine the correct spray rate, herbicide reduction rate, false spray rate, and destroyed weed rate.

Incorporating this advanced herbicide spraying technology offers a more efficient and environmentally-friendly approach to weed control, ensuring optimal utilization of resources and precise targeting of weed-infested areas.

These can be calculated using the following formula:
Correct spray rate = (NCSK/NSNWB) × 100
Herbicide reduction rate = (1-NSB/NB) × 100
False spray rate = (NFSB/NSB) × 100
Destroyed weed rate = (NK/NW) × 100

By applying this innovative method, we can precisely target weed-infested areas, reducing herbicide usage while maintaining effective weed control. This approach not only ensures a significant reduction in herbicide application but also minimizes the risk of harm to non-weed vegetation. These advances in chemical-based weed control management offer a more sustainable and eco-friendly solution for modern agriculture (Tanha *et al*., 2020).

Robot-based herbicide application

The innovative concept of selective herbicide application systems holds great promise in reducing the overall usage of herbicides or chemicals, leading to more sustainable and environmentally-friendly practices. However, it is essential to clarify that these systems should not be considered as autonomous robots, but rather as automated systems that can be controlled. In recent developments, selective spraying technology is seamlessly integrated with compact hardware and automatically guided vehicle systems, bolstered by advanced control technology, to achieve even greater precision in herbicide application.

One such example is the ecoRobotix, a state-of-the-art spraying robot that operates autonomously, guided by GPS, to navigate within crop rows. The robot utilizes machine vision sensors to detect weeds accurately along the crop rows. Equipped with two spray nozzles attached to the arm of delta robots, eco-Robotix precisely positions the nozzles directly on the detected weed plants. This enables the robot to selectively apply the herbicides only to the identified weeds, minimizing herbicide usage and maximizing efficiency (Fennimore *et al*., 2019).

Similarly, a group of scientists has developed a ladybird robot, akin to the eco-Robotix, but with a unique feature: its robot arm boasts 6-axis movement capability. This enhanced flexibility enables the ladybird robot to+- maneuver the spray nozzle with even greater precision, ensuring effective action on weed plants while reducing any potential harm to surrounding crops.

These robot-based selective herbicide application systems represent a significant advancement in the field of weed control. By leveraging sophisticated technology such as GPS, machine vision, and robotic arms, these automated systems offer unparalleled accuracy and efficiency in targeting and eradicating weeds. This revolutionary approach not only reduces herbicide consumption but also ensures the overall health and productivity of crops, paving the way for a more sustainable future in agriculture (Fennimore *et al*., 2019).

Eco-friendly approach to weed eradication

With a growing emphasis on reducing chemical costs in farming and protecting the environment, the demand for non-chemical weed control methods is on the rise. Organic farming, in particular, has witnessed a surge in interest due to the focus on sustainable practices. Non-chemical weed control methods encompass various approaches, including biological, mechanical, and electrical methods. Among these, the pulse high voltage discharge method stands out as an effective weed control system.

The pulse high voltage discharge method is adept at eliminating small-sized weeds, typically around 5cm in height with a stem diameter of 2mm. These weeds can be effectively destroyed with a spark delivering 153 mJ energy and 15 kV. For larger weeds, approximately 80 to 120 cm tall with a stem diameter of 10-15 mm, a charge at 20 Hz proves effective. This weeds control method exerts its impact on the roots and stems of weed plants through the spark charge, disrupting the water and nutrient supply channels. As a result, the weed plants wilt and perish within a few days. In this system, devices that discharge the spark are integrated into the setup in place of nozzles, similar to chemical-based systems. The system is meticulously designed to apply the spark only to the specific area where weed plants are detected. Once the weed sites are identified, the system selects the weed points for spark discharge, representing the areas where weed growth is observed. The method follows three conditions: First, the center of the region is calculated by averaging all the coordinates present in the pixels of the image. Second, the spark discharge is applied precisely at the center. Lastly, a weed plant is considered successfully eradicated when it receives the spark discharge. The high-voltage weed control method offers a promising alternative to chemical-based approaches, effectively reducing weed growth without the use of harmful chemicals. This environment-friendly technique holds great potential in promoting sustainable and eco-conscious farming practices, ensuring healthier crops and a cleaner ecosystem

The first two conditions are mentioned to select the spark discharging sites, while the third condition is established to determine the efficacy of weed demolition. This method necessitates the evaluation of specific factors, including the false spark rate and correct spark rate.

$$\text{False spark rate} = (\text{NFSK}/\text{NSK}) \times 100$$

$$\text{Correct spark rate} = (\text{NCSK}/\text{NSK}) \times 100$$

Here NSK is the total number of the sparked sites and the number of sparked weed pixels is NCSK (Tanha *et al*.,2020).

Conclusion

The battle against invasive weeds in modern agriculture calls for innovative and sustainable weed management approaches. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a game-changer, revolutionizing various aspects of agriculture and significantly enhancing productivity and sustainability. Integrating AI with precision agriculture technologies can lead to highly productive agricultural systems capable of meeting the ever-growing demands for food and fibre while minimizing environmental impact. The continuous advancement and integration of AI in agriculture promise a greener and more sustainable future, ensuring food security for the growing global population.

Reference

Beckie, H.J.; Reboud, X. Selecting for Weed Resistance: Herbicide Rotation and Mixture. Weed Technol. 2009, 23, 363–370.

Brown, R.B.; Noble, S.D. Site-specific weed management: Sensing requirements—What do we need to see? Weed Sci. 2005, 53, 252–258.

Duke, S.O. Why have no new herbicide modes of action appeared in recent years? Pest Manag. Sci. 2012, 68, 505–512.

Eide, A.; Koparan, C.; Zhang, Y.; Ostlie, M.; Howatt, K.; Sun, X. UAV-Assisted Thermal Infrared and Multispectral Imaging of Weed Canopies for Glyphosate Resistance Detection. Remote Sens. 2021, 13, 4606.

Fennimore, S. A., and Cutulle, M. 2019. Robotic weeders can improve weed control options for specialty crops. Pest Management Science. doi:10.1002/ps.5337.

Gitelson, A.A.; Merzlyak, M.N. Signature Analysis of Leaf Reflectance Spectra: Algorithm Development for Remote Sensing of Chlorophyll. J. Plant Physiol. 1996, 148, 494–500.

Jha, G.; Sihi, D.; Dari, B.; Kaur, H.; Nocco, M.A.; Ulery, A.; Lombard, K. Rapid and inexpensive assessment of soil total iron using Nix Pro color sensor. Agric. Environ. Lett. 2021, 6, e20050.

Johnson, W.G.; Owen, M.D.K.; Kruger, G.R.; Young, B.G.; Shaw, D.R.; Wilson, R.G.; Wilcut, J.W.; Jordan, D.L.; Weller, S.C. U.S. Farmer Awareness of Glyphosate-Resistant Weeds and Resistance Management Strategies. Weed Technol. 2009, 23, 308–312.

Kamruzzaman, M.; Makino, Y.; Oshita, S. Online monitoring of red meat color using hyperspectral imaging. Meat. Sci. 2016, 116, 110–117.

Kamruzzaman, M.; Makino, Y.; Oshita, S. Rapid and non-destructive detection of chicken adulteration in minced beef using visible near-infrared hyperspectral imaging and machine learning. J. Food Eng. 2016, 170, 8–15.

Khanna, M.; Atallah, S.S.; Kar, S.; Sharma, B.; Wu, L.; Yu, C.; Chowdhary, G.; Soman, C.; Guan, K. Digital transformation for a sustainable agriculture in the United States: Opportunities and challenges. Agric. Econ. 2022, 53, 924–937.

Manh, A.-G.; Rabatel, G.; Assemat, L.; Aldon, M.-J. AE—Automation and Emerging Technologies. J. Agric. Eng. Res. 2001, 80, 139–146.

McLennon, E.; Dari, B.; Jha, G.; Sihi, D.; Kankarla, V. Regenerative agriculture and integrative permaculture for sustainable and technology driven global food production and security. Agron. J. 2021, 113, 4541–4559.

Noble, S.D.; Brown, R.; Crowe, T. The use of spectral properties for weed detection and identification—A review. In Proceedings of the CSAE/SCGR Annual Meeting, Saskatoon, SK, Canada, 14–17 July 2002.

Pause, M.; Raasch, F.; Marrs, C.; Csaplovics, E. Monitoring Glyphosate-Based Herbicide Treatment Using Sentinel-2 Time Series—A Proof-of-Principle. Remote Sens. 2019, 11, 2541.

Picoli, G.J.; Carbonari, C.A.; Matos AK, A.; Rodrigues LF, O.S.; Velini, E.D. Influence of Glyphosate on Susceptible and Resistant Ryegrass Populations to Herbicide. Planta Daninha 2017, 35.

Pollet, P.; Feyaerts, F.; Wambacq, P.; van Gool, L. Weed Detection Based on Structural Information Using an Imaging Spectrograph. In Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Precision Agriculture, St Paul, MI, USA, 19–22 July 2015; pp. 1579–1591.

Shirzadifar, A.; Bajwa, S.; Nowatzki, J.; Bazrafkan, A. Field identification of weed species and glyphosate-resistant weeds using high resolution imagery in early growing season. Biosyst. Eng. 2020, 200, 200–214.

Tanha Talaviya, Dhara Shah, Nivedita Patel, Hiteshri Yagnik, Manan Shah, 2020. Implementation of artificial intelligence in agriculture for optimization of irrigation and application of pesticides and herbicides. Artificial intelligence in agriculture. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiia.2020.04.002

Vioix, J.-B.; Douzals, J.-P.; Truchetet, F.; Assémat, L.; Guillemin, J.-P. Spatial and Spectral Methods for Weed Detection and Localization. EURASIP J. Adv. Signal. Process 2002, 2002, 793080.

Vrindts, E.; de Baerdemaeker, J. Optical weed detection and evaluation using reflection measurements. In Proceedings of the Photonics East (ISAM, VVDC, IEMB), Boston, MA, USA, 1–6 November 1998; pp. 279–289.

Wang, N.; Zhang, N.; Dowell, F.E.; Sun, Y.; Peterson, D.E. Design of An Optical Weed Sensor Using Plant Spectral Characteristics. Trans. ASAE 2001, 44, 409.