



## Popular Article

Domain: **Agriculture Science**

Vol 5 Issue 2, Feb 2026, 177-180

### Participatory Plant Breeding in Smallholder Farming Systems

**Dr. Madhuri Pradhan<sup>1</sup>, Manoj Kumar<sup>2\*</sup>, Pallavi Puhan<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Sandeep Govindappa Shinde<sup>4</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, C.V. Raman Global University, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India.

<sup>2\*</sup> Assistant Professor Cum Jr Scientist, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Bihar Agricultural University Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar- 813210, India.

<sup>3</sup> Ph.D. Scholar, Dept. of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, India.

<sup>4</sup> Assistant Professor (GPB), Department of Agril Botany, Vasantrya Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India.

\*Corresponding email address: [manojbausabour@gmail.com](mailto:manojbausabour@gmail.com)

[DOI:10.5281/trendsinaagriculture.18509422](https://doi.org/10.5281/trendsinaagriculture.18509422)

#### *Abstract*

Participatory Plant Breeding (PPB) is a collaborative technique that involves farmers, scientists, and extension workers in crop development. Unlike traditional breeding programs conducted at research stations, PPB works directly in farmers' fields under real-world agro-ecological and socioeconomic settings. This strategy improves the adaptation and acceptability of novel kinds, especially in smallholder farming systems with limited resources, different habitats, and risky situations. The study examines the ideas, methodology, advantages, and limitations of participatory plant breeding, focusing on its role in fostering genetic variety, farmer empowerment, and sustainable agriculture. It also examines successful case studies and makes policy suggestions for scaling up PPB using institutional and community-based frameworks.

**Keywords:** Participatory breeding, genetic diversity, farmer innovation, crop improvement, food security.

#### **Introduction**

Smallholder farmers, who produce less than two hectares of land, make up a sizable share of world food supply. Their farming systems are extremely diversified and suffer various obstacles, including climate unpredictability, soil degradation, insect pressures, and a scarcity of high-quality seeds. Conventional plant breeding, while successful in creating high-yielding cultivars, frequently fails to satisfy the special demands of small-scale farmers since selection takes place in homogenous, high-input conditions.

**Participatory Plant Breeding (PPB)** helps to close this gap by actively including farmers in crop variety selection, testing, and assessment. It combines scientific information with local experience to ensure that new varieties perform well in local settings and meet farmers' preferences for characteristics such as flavor, storability, and resilience.

### Principles of Participatory Plant Breeding

1. **On-Farm Evaluation:** Breeding occurs under genuine outdoor circumstances rather than controlled experimental plots.
2. **Farmer-Centric Selection:** Farmers are involved in every stage of variety production, from defining breeding objectives to choosing favored genotypes.
3. **Collaboration and Knowledge Exchange:** Scientists and farmers work together, combining scientific approaches with indigenous knowledge.
4. **Decentralization:** Trials and selections are carried out throughout several agro-ecological zones, increasing flexibility.
5. **Empowerment and Capacity Building:** Farmers acquire technical understanding of genetics, selection processes, and seed upkeep.

### Approaches and Methodology

#### 1. Collaborative Breeding Cycle:

The PPB cycle consists of germplasm identification, hybridization, selection under farmer-managed settings, and assessment via farmer field schools.

#### 2. Farmer Field Schools (FFS):

Give farmers hands-on instruction in evaluating segregating populations, understanding heritable features, and assessing yield stability.

#### 3. On-Farm Trials:

Farmers evaluate advanced lines in various microclimates to ensure that the final variety meet real-world farming limits.

#### 4. Gender-Inclusive Participation:

Women farmers frequently play an important role in seed selection for characteristics such as cooking quality and shelf life. PPB incorporates gender concerns to make breeding outcomes more inclusive.

#### 5. Community Seed Banks:

Locally adapted varieties produced by PPB are saved and traded through community-managed seed banks, encouraging self-reliance and agrobiodiversity.

### Benefits of Participatory Plant Breeding

- **Enhanced Adaptability:** Varieties are more suited to the diverse, low-input conditions seen in smallholder systems.
- **Increased Adoption Rates:** Farmers who contributed to variety selection and testing are more inclined to adopt them.
- **Climate Resilience:** Locally developed cultivars have greater resilience to drought, pests, and other stressors.
- **Preservation of Genetic Diversity:** PPB promotes the use of traditional varieties and landraces to protect genetic diversity and boost local gene pools.

- **Empowerment and Equity:** Farmers, including women and underprivileged groups, become co-innovators rather than passive recipients.
- **Cost-Effective Breeding:** Shared accountability cuts expenses for research institutes and boosts local innovation capability.

### Challenges in Implementation

Despite its proven benefits, PPB faces several challenges:

- **Institutional Resistance:** Conventional breeding programs may be resistant to decentralized, farmer-led alternatives.
- **Technical Training Gaps:** Farmers require ongoing technical training to improve their ability to assess traits and collect data.
- **Scaling Limitations:** Local leadership and community participation play a crucial role in scaling success.
- **Resource Constraints:** Limited financing for multi-location, farmer-managed experiments.
- **Intellectual Property Issues:** Sharing ownership of cooperatively generated varieties might create policy problems.

### Policy Implications and Future Prospects

**To improve participatory breeding systems, governments and research institutions should:**

1. Create legislative frameworks that recognize farmers' rights under the Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights (PPV&FR) Act.
2. Promote public-private collaborations among NGOs, seed firms, and farmer cooperatives.
3. Integrate PPB into national breeding initiatives as a complementing strategy.
4. Offer institutional support and money for on-farm experiments.
5. Encourage the use of digital technologies, such as mobile applications and GIS mapping, to facilitate decentralized data gathering and registration.

As agriculture faces climate instability and biodiversity loss, PPB provides a long-term avenue for inclusive innovation and resilient crop development.

### Conclusion

Participatory Plant Breeding transforms agricultural innovation by putting farmers at the center of crop enhancement. It bridges the gap between scientific inquiry and traditional wisdom, establishing a culture of collaboration and trust. PPB provides not just genetic advancement in smallholder agricultural systems, but also social empowerment and environmental sustainability. The future of plant breeding is based on participative, decentralized, and diversity-driven techniques that make agriculture more flexible and inclusive.

### References

- Almekinders, C. J. M., & Hardon, J. (Eds.). (2020). *Bridging farmers and scientists: Participatory plant breeding experiences*. Routledge.
- Ceccarelli, S., & Grando, S. (2007). Decentralized-participatory plant breeding: An example of demand-driven research. *Euphytica*, 155(3), 349–360.
- Ceccarelli, S., Guimarães, E. P., & Weltzien, E. (Eds.). (2009). *Plant breeding and farmer participation*. FAO.

- Cleveland, D. A., & Soleri, D. (2019). *Farmers, scientists, and plant breeding: Integrating knowledge and practice*. CABI.
- Gyawali, S., Joshi, K. D., & Witcombe, J. R. (2021). Participatory breeding of rice in Nepal: Lessons for resilience. *Agriculture and Food Security*, 10(1), 1–14.
- Jarvis, D. I., Hodgkin, T., & Sthapit, B. (2020). Strengthening on-farm conservation through participatory approaches. *Plant Genetic Resources*, 18(3), 193–205.
- Pautasso, M., & Barnaud, A. (2018). Seed exchange networks and participatory plant breeding: Strengthening local adaptation. *Sustainability*, 10(12), 4601.
- Smale, M., & Bellon, M. R. (2019). The role of farmers in maintaining crop genetic diversity: Lessons from participatory breeding. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*, 43(8), 861–881.
- Sperling, L., Ashby, J. A., Smith, M. E., Weltzien, E., & McGuire, S. (2001). A framework for analyzing participatory plant breeding approaches and results. *Euphytica*, 122, 439–450.
- Witcombe, J. R., Joshi, A., Gyawali, S., & Virk, D. S. (2022). Farmer participatory crop improvement: Twenty-five years of experience. *Field Crops Research*, 285, 108624.